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## Analyze \& Act

INDIVIDUAL STUDENTS' SCALED SCORES
The histograms below show the distribution of individual students' scaled scores within the Reporting Group and the Comparison Group. The dark line indicates the overall mean score for that group.


Different students take different forms of this test. On each form, some numbers in the score range are not possible scores. Consequently, the score distributions are not smooth, even for large groups of students.


## PROFICIENCY LEVEL

This chart shows the percentages of students at each proficiency level within the Reporting Group and the Comparison Group.


## COMPARISON GROUP

ALL STUDENTS at All Institutions
Institutions: 25
Students Included in Report: 1,889

## PROFICIENCY LEVEL DESCRIPTIONS

In responding to descriptions of interactions with culturally different others, test takers at this level are:

| DEVELOPING | PROFICIENT <br> (150-157) | ADVANCED <br> (158-174) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Not very <br> aware of/able <br> to identify: | Moderately <br> aware of/able | Highly <br> aware <br> to identify: <br> of/able to <br> identify: |

- the impact of their own culture, values, preferences, and previous experiences on their cognitive, emotional and behavioral responses
- how certain behaviors or actions may be interpreted by other people
- how nonverbal behaviors or cues may signal certain feelings, thoughts or intentions - others' responses to their own actions and signals - others' physical, verbal and nonverbal behaviors and cues during a social interaction
- others' potential viewpoints
- how preconceived judgments and stereotyped thinking can interfere with information processing
- how to use declarative cultural knowledge to enhance interactions (with culturally different others)
- the importance of monitoring and revising personal behavior to engage in culturally appropriate behavior and to avoid culturally inappropriate behavior
- the importance of monitoring and revising emotions in an automatic or controlled manner

See www.ets.org/heighten/ICDlevels for the complete descriptions.
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## Analyze \& Act

INSTITUTIONS' SCALED SCORE AND SUBSCORE MEANS
The chart below enables you to compare the mean scaled scores for your Reporting
Group with the mean scaled scores of the institutions in the Comparison Group.
The mean scaled scores of your Reporting Group are displayed in the dark blue rectangle.
The mean scaled scores of each of the institutions within the Comparison Group are
shown using the "box and whisker" graphs. The thick yellow bar (the "box") shows the
range of the middle 50 percent of the institutions's mean scores within the Comparison
Group. The whole figure, including the black horizontal lines (the "whiskers") shows the
range of the middle 80 percent of the institutions. (The lowest 10 percent and the highest
10 percent of the institutions have mean scores that are outside the span of the figure.)
The thin black vertical line (median) in the box and whisker graphs separate the upper half
of the institutions from the lower half.

## SCALED SCORES (Scale of 150-180)



## SUBSCORES (Scale of 1-10)

Behavior Regulation Active monitoring and revision of personal behavior to engage in culturally appropriate behavior and avoid engaging in culturally inappropriate behavior.

| Behavior Regulation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reporting Group: Mean Scaled Score | 5.4 |
| Comparison Group: Mean of Institutional Mean | 5.5 |
| Comparison Group: Median of Institutional Means | 5.7 |
| Comparison Group: Middle $50 \%$ of Inst Means | $5.0-6.3$ |
| Comparison Group: Middle $80 \%$ of Inst Means | $4.1-6.6$ |

Cultural Knowledge

## Application

Utilizing relevant declarative cultural knowledge in an interaction.


| Cultural Knowledge Application |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reporting Group: Mean Scaled Score | 5.4 |
| Comparison Group: Mean of Institutional Mean | 5.5 |
| Comparison Group: Median of Institutional Means | 5.9 |
| Comparison Group: Middle 50\% of Inst Means | $5.2-6.1$ |
| Comparison Group: Middle $80 \%$ of Inst Means | $4.2-6.3$ |

## Emotion Regulation

Ability to monitor and revise emotions in an automatic or controlled manner.

| Emotion Regulation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reporting Group: Mean Scaled Score | 5.4 |
| Comparison Group: Mean of Institutional Mean | 5.5 |
| Comparison Group: Median of Institutional Means | 5.6 |
| Comparison Group: Middle $50 \%$ of Inst Means | $5.2-6.0$ |
| Comparison Group: Middle $80 \%$ of Inst Means | $4.3-6.5$ |
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## Analyze \& Act

INSTITUTIONS' SCALED SCORE AND SUBSCORE MEANS

## SUBSCORES CONTINUED (Scale of 1-10)

Self Awareness
Understanding the impact that one's own culture, values, preferences and previous experiences has on cognitive, emotional and behavioral responses.
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Suspending
Judgment/Perspective

## Taking

Active consideration of others' potential viewpoints and active refrainment of preconceived cultural schema interfering with information processing.


| Suspending Judgment/Perspective Taking |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reporting Group: Mean Scaled Score | 5.6 |
| Comparison Group: Mean of Institutional Mean | 5.6 |
| Comparison Group: Median of Institutional Means | 5.8 |
| Comparison Group: Middle $50 \%$ of Inst Means | $5.3-6.2$ |
| Comparison Group: Middle $80 \%$ of Inst Means | $4.6-6.4$ |

Social Monitoring Awareness of physical, verbal and nonverbal behaviors and cues of others during a social interaction; attention to others' responses to one's own actions and signals.


| Social Monitoring |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reporting Group: Mean Scaled Score | 5.6 |
| Comparison Group: Mean of Institutional Mean | 5.5 |
| Comparison Group: Median of Institutional Means | 5.6 |
| Comparison Group: Middle $50 \%$ of Inst Means | $4.9-6.0$ |
| Comparison Group: Middle $80 \%$ of Inst Means | $4.2-6.5$ |
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## Approach

## INDIVIDUAL STUDENTS' SCALED SCORES

The histograms below show the distribution of individual students' scaled scores within the Reporting Group and the Comparison Group. The dark line indicates the overall mean score for that group.

## REPORTING GROUP



Scaled Scores (90-150)
$\square$ Low $\square$ Neutral $\square$ High
Different students take different forms of this test. On each form, some numbers in the score range are not possible scores. Consequently, the score distributions are not smooth, even for large groups of students.
COMPARISON GROUP


## APPROACH LEVELS

The pie charts below show the percentage of test takers whose responses, overall, were categorized as Low, Neutral, or High.


COMPARISON GROUP
ALL STUDENTS at All Institutions
Institutions: 25
Students Included in Report: 1,889

## APPROACH LEVEL DESCRIPTIONS

Scores on the Approach dimension reflect test takers' views of themselves. Test takers are divided into three groups based on their responses to cross-cultural-related scenarios

LOW
(90-104)
View
themselves as not very capable of:

| NEUTRAL | HIGH |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(105-131)$ | $(132-150)$ <br> View |
| themselves | View |
| themselves |  |
| as | as very |
| moderately |  |
| capable of: |  |

-adapting to and navigating cross-cultural environments

- communicating with and understanding the intentions and viewpoints of culturally different others
- facing/dealing with ambiguous circumstances or situations that do not have clear outcomes
- responding to cross-cultural interactions in a positive way

See www.ets.org/heighten/ICDlevels for the complete descriptions.
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## SUBSCORES (Scale of 9-15)

Cultural Self Efficacy
The belief that one can successfully engage in cross-cultural situations.


| Cultural Self Efficacy |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reporting Group: Mean Scaled Score | 11.9 |
| Comparison Group: Mean of Institutional Mean | 12.0 |
| Comparison Group: Median of Institutional Means | 12.0 |
| Comparison Group: Middle 50\% of Inst Means | $11.8-12.3$ |
| Comparison Group: Middle 80\% of Inst Means | $11.7-12.5$ |

Positive Cultural Orientation
The evaluation of cross-cultural situations as favorable.


| Positive Cultural Orientation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reporting Group: Mean Scaled Score | 12.0 |
| Comparison Group: Mean of Institutional Mean | 12.0 |
| Comparison Group: Median of Institutional Means | 12.0 |
| Comparison Group: Middle 50\% of Inst Means | $11.8-12.3$ |
| Comparison Group: Middle 80\% of Inst Means | $11.7-12.4$ |

Note: Scores for Tolerance for Ambiguity, a part of the Approach dimension, are not reported. The reliability of these scores does not meet ETS standards of quality.

# HEIghten ${ }^{\circledR}$ Intercultural Competency \& Diversity Assessment Analyze \& Act Performance Level Descriptions 

Scores on the Analyze and Act dimension reflect the reactions of test takers to descriptions of interactions among culturally different others.

## Advanced (Score Range 175-180)

In responding to descriptions of interactions with culturally different others, test takers at this level are highly aware of/able to identify:

- the impact of their own culture, values, preferences, and previous experiences on their cognitive, emotional, and behavioral responses
- how certain behaviors or actions may be interpreted by other people
- how nonverbal behaviors or cues may signal certain feelings, thoughts, or intentions;
- others' responses to their own actions and signals
- others' physical, verbal, and non-verbal behaviors and cues during a social interaction;
- others' potential viewpoints
- how preconceived judgments and stereotyped thinking can interfere with information processing
- how to use declarative cultural knowledge to enhance interactions (with culturally different others)
- the importance of monitoring and revising personal behavior to engage in culturally appropriate behavior and to avoid culturally inappropriate behavior
- the importance of monitoring and revising emotions in an automatic or controlled manner


## Proficient (Score Range 158-174)

In responding to descriptions of interactions with culturally different others, test takers at this level are moderately aware of/able to identify:

- the impact of their own culture, values, preferences, and previous experiences on their cognitive, emotional, and behavioral responses
- how certain behaviors or actions may be interpreted by other people
- how nonverbal behaviors or cues may signal certain feelings, thoughts, or intentions;
- others' responses to their own actions and signals
- others' physical, verbal, and non-verbal behaviors and cues during a social interaction;
- others' potential viewpoints
- how preconceived judgments and stereotyped thinking can interfere with information processing
- how to use declarative cultural knowledge to enhance interactions (with culturally different others)
- the importance of monitoring and revising personal behavior to engage in culturally appropriate behavior and to avoid culturally inappropriate behavior
- the importance of monitoring and revising emotions in an automatic or controlled manner


## Developing (Score Range 150-157)

In responding to descriptions of interactions with culturally different others, test takers at this level are not very aware of/able to identify:

- the impact of their own culture, values, preferences, and previous experiences on their cognitive, emotional, and behavioral responses
- how certain behaviors or actions may be interpreted by other people
- how nonverbal behaviors or cues may signal certain feelings, thoughts, or intentions;
- others' responses to their own actions and signals
- others' physical, verbal, and non-verbal behaviors and cues during a social interaction;
- others' potential viewpoints
- how preconceived judgments and stereotyped thinking can interfere with information processing
- how to use declarative cultural knowledge to enhance interactions (with culturally different others)
- the importance of monitoring and revising personal behavior to engage in culturally appropriate behavior and to avoid culturally inappropriate behavior
- the importance of monitoring and revising emotions in an automatic or controlled manner


## Approach Level Descriptions

Scores on the Approach dimension reflect test takers' views of themselves. Test takers are divided into three groups based on their self-reported reactions to hypothetical situations. Note: Scores may not reflect how individuals will actually respond or perform in real-world situations.

## High (Score Range 130-150)

Test takers at this level view themselves as very capable of:

- adapting to and navigating cross-cultural environments
- communicating with and understanding the intentions and viewpoints of culturally different others
- facing/dealing with ambiguous circumstances or situations that do not have clear outcomes
- responding to cross-cultural interactions in a positive way


## Neutral (Score Range 112-129)

Test takers at this level view themselves as moderately capable of:

- adapting to and navigating cross-cultural environments
- communicating with and understanding the intentions and viewpoints of culturally different others
- facing/dealing with ambiguous circumstances or situations that do not have clear outcomes
- responding to cross-cultural interactions in a positive way


## Low (Score range 90-111)

Test takers at this level view themselves as not very capable of:

- adapting to and navigating cross-cultural environments
- communicating with and understanding the intentions and viewpoints of culturally different others
- facing/dealing with ambiguous circumstances or situations that do not have clear outcomes
- responding to cross-cultural interactions in a positive way
- proposals that would lead to increased civic participation by individuals or groups

